

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,698	03/18/2002	Paolo Cittadini	6502-1521	2352
7590 09/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert F I Conte			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
Lee Mann Smith McWilliams Sweeney & Ohlson				
PO Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			3634	-
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Coffice Action Comments	10/088,698	CITTADINI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAN INC DATE of this accomplisation and	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-9,11,12 and 14-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19 and 22 is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-9, 11, 12, 20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction of th	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,698

Art Unit: 3634

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on July 2, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the magnet configuration is the same invention as the gasket. This is not found persuasive because a gasket is a different invention from a magnet as evidenced by their different classification.

Additionally, the independent claim 20 directed to the gasket invention includes very specific limitations regarding the gasket itself and an additional auxiliary magnetized element. Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 14-19 and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on July 2, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2-9, 11, 12, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "the corresponding laterally adjacent segment" on lines 1314 of claim 20 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis.

Recitations such as "the magnetized elements" on line 18 of claim 20 render the claims in definite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth since only one magnetized element has been described above on lines 4-14. Pronouns such as

"it" on line 1 of claim 21 should be changed to refer to the specific noun to which the applicant is referring. Recitations such as "magnetized advance continuously" on lines 3-4 of claim 21 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. Recitations such as "a main face" on line 6 of claim 21 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what element includes the face to which the applicant is referring. Recitations such as "segment" on line 8 of claim 21 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to one of the segments set forth above or is attempting to set forth another segment in addition to the ones set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application No. 0 559 267 in view of Anderson. European Patent Application No. 0 559 267 discloses a magnetic sealing gasket comprising a support section bar comprising an attachment base 11 and a rabbet portion 19 presenting a striking face 15, a one-piece magnetized element 16 inserted in said rabbet portion of the support section bar and having on a main face (not numbered, but shown in figure 2) corresponding to said striking face, and an auxiliary magnetized

Application/Control Number: 10/088,698

Art Unit: 3634

element 16. European Patent Application No. 0 559 267 is silent concerning magnetized bands.

However, Anderson discloses a magnetized element having first and second longitudinal adjacent bands as shown in figure 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide European Patent Application No. 0 559 267 with a magnetized elements, as taught by Anderson, to concentrate the magnetic forces at the striking face.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiel in view of Anderson. Kiel discloses a magnetic sealing gasket comprising a support section bar comprising an attachment base 40 and a rabbet portion 42 presenting a striking face (not numbered, but shown in figure 3) a one-piece magnetized element 44 inserted in said rabbet portion of the support section bar and having on a main face (not numbered, but shown in figure 3) corresponding to said striking face, and an auxiliary magnetized element 66. Kiel is silent concerning magnetized bands.

However, Anderson discloses a magnetized element having first and second longitudinal adjacent bands as shown in figure 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Kiel with a magnetized elements, as taught by Anderson, to concentrate the magnetic forces at the striking face.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,698

Art Unit: 3634

With respect to claims 11, 12 and 21, the manufacture of the apparatus as disclosed by Kiel in view of Anderson would inherently lead to the method steps of claims 11, 12 and 21.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiel in view of Anderson as applied to claims 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20 and 21 above, and further in view of Hill et al. Hill et al. discloses a magnetized element comprising a plasto-ferrite.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Kiel, as modified above, with a construction, as taught by Hill et al., to reduce the weight of the seal.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action since the applicant presented new claims 2-9, 11, 12, 20 and 21.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,698 Page 7

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

September 20, 2004